MAY 1 5 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/552,006 Confirmation No.

Applicant

: Jurgen Baumle et al.

Filed

: October 4, 2005

PCT No.

: PCT/EP2004/003463

IFD

: April 1, 2004

TC/A.U.

Examiner

Docket No.

: 05-650

Customer No.: 34704

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

LETTER

Sir:

Applicants enclose for the Examiner's consideration a copy of the translation of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability which has issued in the international application.

Respectfully submitted,

Baumle et al.

Barry Kelmachter

BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C.

Req. No. 29,999

Attorney for Applicants

Telephone: (203)777-6628 ext. 112

Telefax: (203)865-0297 Email: docket@bachlap.com

Date: May 12, 2006

I, Nicole Motzer, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313" on May 12, 2006.

To:

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

TT .	WEISS, Peter Patentanwaite Zeppelinstrasse Weiss & DIPL-ING. A. BRECHT 78234 Engen EINGANG ALLEMAGNE 08, März 2006		
	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2004 (01.04.2004)		
SWISSLOG AG et al			

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

P2981/PCT

Applicant

International application No. - PCT/EP2004/003463

02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English to	translation of the international preliminary repo	rt or
patentability (Chapter I).		

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

KR

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter Π).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35 Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 70

Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1	nt's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
P2981/PCT		*				
	onal application No.	International filing da		Priority date (day/month/year)		
	/EP2004/0034 <i>6</i>			04.04.2003		
Internatio	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
Applican	ıt					
SWI	SSLOG AG					
1.	This report is the internati under Article 35 and transr	onal preliminary examination rep nitted to the applicant according to	port, established by this Ir o Article 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining Authority		
2.	This REPORT consists of	a total of 11	sheets, including	this cover sheet.		
3.	This report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
	a (sent to the app	plicant and to the International Bu	reau) a total of 7	sheets, as follows:		
	sheets of	the description, claims and/or dra ntaining rectifications authorized	wings which have been an	nended and are the basis for this report and/or e 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative		
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
	b. (sent to the Inte	ernational Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and number	of electronic carrier(s))		
		,	,	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables		
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4.	This report contains indica	tions relating to the following iten	ns:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II P	riority				
		Non-establishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inventi-	ve step and industrial applicability		
-		ack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article sitations and explanations supporti	35(2) with regard to novelt ng such statement	ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		H-		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international	application			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report			s report			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer			
			Talaskana Na	,		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Bo	x No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the interna cated under this item.	ational application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)			
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 a	and/or 55.3)	
2.	and the state of t			
		pages 4-10		as originally filed/furnished
		pages* 1,2,3,3a	received by this Authority on	11.04.2005 with the letter of 11.04.2005
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the claims:	,	
				as originally filed/furnished
		nos.		
		nos.* 1-13		er with any statement) under Article 19 11.04.2005 with the letter of 11.04.2005
		nos.*		•
	\boxtimes	the drawings:	_	
		sheets 1/1		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppl	emental Box Relating to Sequence I	Listing.
3.	П	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		•
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the ame they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as	endments annexed to this report and s filed, as indicated in the Suppleme	I listed below had not been made, since ntal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages	<u> </u>	
		the claims, nos.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		• 0
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		•
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "s	superseded."	

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/003463

Bo	x No. II Priority				
1.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:				
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).				
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).				
2.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:				
	•				
:					
	•				
,					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box No. II	. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application			
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 5-13			
becaus	use:			
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos.			
	relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	·			
		!		
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.			
	are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	*	•		
		quately supported		
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 7-15			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of Instructions in that:	the Administrative		
	the written form has not been furnished			
	does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form has not been furnished			
	does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do r technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	ot comply with the		
	See Supplemental Box for further details.			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box	x No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
	restricted the claims.
	paid additional fees.
	paid additional fees under protest.
	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
	complied with.
	not complied with for the following reasons:
	See Supplemental Box
	$_{ ilde{ imes}}$
	·
4.	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all parts.
1	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-4

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT/EP2004/003463

		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-4	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-4	YES
		Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-4	YES
		Claims		NO
			•	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

DE-A-3826518 (D1) is considered the closest prior art and discloses (in column 1 of the description) a fully automated small parts store and a method for the takeover and/or handover and for the transport (in particular for the paperless picking) of goods that are located in the store using a vehicle (moving table) which travels along the store aisles,

the vehicle calling at a predetermined picking location in a fully automated and controlled manner and taking over or handing over goods at that location, the takeover or handover also being controlled in a fully automated manner (column 1, line 1).

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from that of D1 in that the takeover or handover is controlled in a fully automated manner at the picking location itself, in that the vehicle determines the weight and quantity of the goods that have been taken or handed over and compares this to a setpoint value.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The objective problem of interest lies in the prevention of incorrect picking of goods.

The solution of weighing the goods directly at the picking location and of determining the quantity thereof and then comparing this with a setpoint value so as to prevent an incorrect delivery is neither suggested nor anticipated by the available prior art.

The subject matter of dependent claims 2-4 is also not anticipated or suggested by the closest prior art, since said claims refer back to claim 1.

Owing to a lack of unity of invention no search was carried out with respect to the subject matter of claims 5-13 (originally filed as claims 7-15) and therefore those claims could not be taken into consideration during the examination.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box IV

- Lack of unity of invention:
- 1.1. Lack of unity of invention "a priori", PCT Rule 13
 and Article 34(3)(a):

The **originally submitted** application contained two independent claims of different categories, claim 1 (method) and claim 8 (system).

The special technical features according to the characterising parts of independent claims 1 and 8 could be summarised as follows:

Claim 1: the handover method as per the preamble of claim 1 includes the steps of fully automated control of the picking location and fully automated handover of the goods.

The objective technical problem of interest could therefore be worded as follows: "fully automated picking as well as storage and removal from storage".

Claim 8: the system for a handover method as per the preamble of claim 8, characterised in that a rail guide for guiding an electric

Supplemental Box

overhead conveyor is at least partially provided in the warehouse aisles.

The objective technical problem of interest could therefore be worded as follows: "provision of special conveying means, in this case the use of an electric overhead conveyor which is guided on rails".

1.2. Lack of unity of invention "a posteriori":

DE-A-3826518 (D1) is considered the closest prior art and discloses (in column 1 of the description) a fully automated small parts store and a method for the takeover and/or handover and for the transport (in particular for the paperless picking) of goods that are located in the store using a vehicle (moving table) which travels along the store aisles,

the vehicle calling at a predetermined picking location in a fully automated and controlled manner and taking over or handing over goods at that location, the takeover or handover also being controlled in a fully automated manner (column 1, line 1).

The subject matter claimed in the **original** claim 1 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)). The subject matter submitted in the original claim 1 is also anticipated by US-A-4,950,119 (D2) and EP-A-140983 (D3).

Supplemental Box

The lack of unity of invention "a posteriori" (PCT Rule 13) also applies to claims 2-4 and 6-7, which refer back directly to claim 1 and which comprise different technical features that have different technical effects for solving different objective problems.

Furthermore, the subject matter of claims 2, 4, 5 and 6 is also anticipated by D1 and that of claim 3 by D2 and therefore they do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3).

Each individual invention thus contains the following specific "special" technical features:

- claim 2: "determining the weight of the goods that have been taken over". The objective technical problem lies in checking whether the goods are complete;
- claim 3: "determining the quantity of goods that have been taken over". The objective technical problem lies in checking the picking instructions;
- claim 4: "recognition of the picking location by the vehicle". The objective technical problem lies in the method of marking the picking location;
- claim 6: "automatic recognition and adjustment of the handover plane according to the height of storage". The objective technical problem lies in the positioning of the handover device for the handover

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Supplemental Box

procedure;

claim 7: "the taking of items from the warehouse other than the picked goods". The objective technical problem lies in extending the warehouse picking method to other functions.